This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/595,551	06/16/2000	Basavaraj B. Patil	P1003	9790
7590 08/20/2004			EXAMINER	
D. Scott Hemingway			LANIER, BENJAMIN E	
Storm & Hemingway, LLP Preston Commons West, Suite 460			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
8117 Preston Road Dallas, TX 75225			2132 DATE MAILED: 08/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Amuliantian N	0 1: 4(-)	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Astion Comme	09/595,551	PATIL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Benjamin E Lanier	2132	
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) decreased in the maximum statuted from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuted Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATION. TOFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely FHS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>14 June 2004</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)[☐ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice			merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 August 2001 Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to be	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand e correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for since a specific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign languated acknowledgment is made of a claim for considerable acknowledgment is made of a claim for consider	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). or a list of the certified copies not a domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. in the first sentence of the specifical age provisional application has be domestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	oplication No received in this National areceived. § 119(e) (to a provisional ation or in an Application een received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	application) Data Sheet. a specific
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s formal Patent Application (PTO	

Application/Control Number: 09/595,551

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 14 June 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that the proxy server of Lincke does not meet the claimed correspondent node is not persuasive because the claims only require the correspondent node to establish an association with the home network and the mobile node and Lincke discloses that the base station is couple to the proxy server via a private network (Col. 8, lines 24-48) that is also in communication with the mobile node (Col. 84, line 54 Col. 85, line 18).
- 2. Applicant's arguments with regards to claim 11, 20, and 29 do not comply with 37 CFR 1.111(c) because they do not clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical

Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting

Application/Control Number: 09/595,551

Art Unit: 2132

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lincke, 4. U.S. Patent No. 6,253,326. Referring to claims 1, 8-11, 17-20, 26-29, 35-37, Lincke discloses a system for secure communications that includes a wireless communication device (mobile node), a base station, a proxy server, the Internet, and a web server (foreign network). The wireless communication device communicates with the base station via wireless communications to establish communications with the web server (foreign network)(Fig. 1), which meets the limitation of the establishing a security association with the mobile node and the foreign network. The base station is coupled to the proxy server via the private network (home network) and communicates to the web server (foreign network) through the Internet (Fig. 1), which meets the limitation of establishing a security association between the home network and the foreign network. The proxy server and the web server are all coupled to the Internet (Col. 8, lines 24-48). The wireless client generates a new 128-bit encryption key for secure transactions, and then encrypts the newly generated key with the public key of the proxy server. The encrypted key is then transmitted to the proxy server and recoverable via the private key of the proxy server (Col. 84, line 54 - Col. 85, line 18), this meets the limitations of encrypting, transmitting, and decoding encrypted information from the mobile node to the home network. In the private network (home network) many servers, routers, and hubs may exist (Col. 9, lines 59-67), which meets the limitation of a home network having a home agent coupled to a router. It is understood that the web server would also Application/Control Number: 09/595,551

Art Unit: 2132

contain many servers, routers and hubs (Col. 10, lines 56-67), which meets the limitation of a foreign network having a foreign agent coupled to a router.

Referring to claims 2-4, 12-14, 21-23, 30-32, Lincke discloses that the base station is couple to the proxy server via a private network (Col. 8, lines 24-48), the proxy server would meet the limitation of a correspondent node.

Referring to claims 5, 6, 15, 16, 24, 25, 33, 34, Lincke discloses the use of public/private key encryption algorithms (Col. 85, lines 12-13). Referring to claim 7, Lincke discloses that the network could be cellular (Col. 2, lines 46-54).

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin E Lanier whose telephone number is 703-305-7684. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th0 7:30am-5:00pm, F 7:30am-4pm.

Art Unit: 2132

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (703)305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin E. Lanier

GILBERTO BARRON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100